1/22

## Epitomic Image Colorization

Yingzhen Yang<sup>1</sup>, Xinqi Chu<sup>1</sup>, Tian Tsong Ng<sup>2</sup>, Alex Yong-Sang Chia<sup>2</sup>, Jianchao Yang<sup>3</sup>, Hailin Jin<sup>3</sup>, Thomas S. Huang<sup>1</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
 <sup>2</sup> Institute for Infocomm Research, A\*STAR, Singapore 138632
 <sup>3</sup> Adobe Research, San Jose, CA 95110, USA

## Outline



- Related Work
- Contribution

#### 2 Formulation

- Epitome
- Robust Patch Dissimilarity Measure via Epitome

### 3 Experimental Results

## Image Colorization

- A process of adding color to grayscale images
  - Increasing the visual appeal of images
  - Information illustration in scientific images
- Manual colorization is time consuming and tedious.
- We focus on automatic image colorization that transfers color from the reference image to the grayscale target image.

Introduction  $\circ\circ$ 

Formulation

Experimental Results

References

### Image Colorization



Figure 1: Colorize the Nano Mushroom-like structure by our method. From left to right: the reference image, the target image, the colorized target image.

## **Related Work**

- Transferring Color to Greyscale Images (Welsh et al., 2002)
  - Pixel-level matching by luminance value and neighborhood statistics
  - Suffers from spatial inconsistency
- Image Colorization Using Similar Images (Gupta et al., 2012)
  - A cascade feature matching scheme for matching the target superpixels to the reference superpixels
  - Lacks robust to change in pose or orientation

## Contribution

- We propose a new automatic image colorization method by epitome, called Epitomic Image Colorization
  - Achieve feature matching robust to both noise and the large change in the pose or orientation of the objects
  - Epitome is a generative model which summarizes raw image patches into a condensed representation.
- A new robust patch dissimilarity measure by epitome and the MRF inference.



- Epitome (Jojic, Frey, & Kannan, 2003) is a generative model which summarizes raw image patches into a condensed representation similar to Gaussian Mixture Models (GMMs).
- In contrast to tradition GMMs, the Gaussian components of epitome can be overlapping with each other.



Figure 2: Examples of the learned epitome

Introduction 00 Formulation

Experimental Results

References

## Introduction to Epitome

• The epitome e is obtained by maximizing the log likelihood function:

$$\mathbf{e} = \arg\max_{\hat{\mathbf{e}}} \log p\left(\{\mathbf{Z}_k\}_{k=1}^Q | \hat{\mathbf{e}}\right), \tag{1}$$



Figure 3: Learn the epitome from the reference image.  $Z_k$ : patch from the reference image;  $\mathcal{T}_k$ : hidden mappings that maps the image patch  $Z_k$  to the epitome patch.

### Heterogeneous Feature Epitome

- We learn the pixel epitome e<sup>YIQ</sup>, the dense SIFT epitome e<sup>SIFT</sup> and the LBP epitome e<sup>LBP</sup> jointly from the the raw pixel, the dense SIFT feature (Lazebnik, Schmid, & Ponce, 2006) and the rotation invariant Local Binary Pattern (LBP) (Ojala, Pietikainen, & Maenpaa, 2002) of the reference image.
- The heterogeneous feature epitome  $\mathbf{e} = (\mathbf{e}^{YIQ}, \mathbf{e}^{SIFT}, \mathbf{e}^{LBP})$

 Introduction
 Formulation
 Experimental Results
 References

 Robust Patch Dissimilarity Measure via Epitome

- In order to match the target patch to the reference patch for color transfer, we need a robust patch dissimilarity measure.
  - We propose a robust dissimilarity measure between the target patch  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_i$  and the reference patch  $\mathbf{Z}_j$  with the heterogeneous feature epitome e learned from the reference image:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{e}}\left(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{i}, \mathbf{Z}_{j}\right) = 1 - p(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{i}^{*} | \mathbf{Z}_{j}, \mathbf{e})$$
(2)

where  $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_i^*$  is the most probable hidden mapping for  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_i$ :

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{i}^{*} = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{i}} p\left(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{i} | \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{i}, \mathbf{e}\right)$$
(3)

(ロ)、(型)、(目)、(目)、(目)、(2)、(10/22)



• This dissimilarity measure is robust to noise and the large change in the pose or orientation of the objects.



Figure 4: Colorize the cheetah

Introd	
00	

## **Epitomic Image Colorization**

- Use the robust patch dissimilarity measure via epitome to find similar reference patches for each target patch
- Transfer color from the similar reference patch to the target patch
- Use MRF inference to obtain a smooth colorization result



Figure 5: Comparison between colorizing the Nano image with MRF inference (left) or not (right).

# Parameter Setting

- The area of the heterogeneous feature epitome is no more than  $\frac{1}{4}$  of that of the reference images.
- The patch size is  $9 \times 9$  or  $12 \times 12$ .

Introduction 00 Formulation

Experimental Results

References

## Colorization Results







#### learned epitome

#### reference image

### target image



Welsh et al.



Gupta et al.



our result.

Experimental Results

References

### Colorization Results Cont.



learned epitome reference image



target image



Welsh et al.



Gupta et al.



our result.

Introduction 00 Formulation

Experimental Results

References

### Colorization Results Cont.



Welsh et al.

Gupta et al.

our result.

・ロ ・ ・ (日 ・ ・ 三 ・ ・ 三 ・ つ へ (\* 16 / 22

Experimental Results

References

### Colorization Results Cont.



Welsh et al.

Gupta et al.

our result.

Experimental Results

References

### Colorization Results Cont.





learned epitome

#### reference image



target image



Welsh et al.

Gupta et al.

#### our result.

◆□ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → </p>

Experimental Results

References

### Colorization Results Cont.



Introd	



## Thank you!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ < 22

Gupta, R. K., Chia, A. Y. S., Rajan, D., Ng, E. S., & Huang, Z. (2012). Image colorization using similar images. In Acm multimedia (p. 369-378).

Formulation

- Jojic, N., Frey, B. J., & Kannan, A. (2003). Epitomic analysis of appearance and shape. In *Iccv* (p. 34-43).
- Lazebnik, S., Schmid, C., & Ponce, J. (2006). Beyond bags of features: Spatial pyramid matching for recognizing natural scene categories. In *Computer vision and pattern* recognition, 2006 ieee computer society conference on (Vol. 2, p. 2169 - 2178).

## Reference II

- Ojala, T., Pietikainen, M., & Maenpaa, T. (2002, jul). Multiresolution gray-scale and rotation invariant texture classification with local binary patterns. *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*, 24(7), 971 -987.
- Welsh, T., Ashikhmin, M., & Mueller, K. (2002). Transferring color to greyscale images. ACM Trans. Graph., 21(3), 277-280.